

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/765,642	01/27/2004	Leonid Yabloko	100498-1U1	1158
570 7590 06/27/2007 · AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			LE, THU NGUYET T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2162	
				4
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04 22 2002	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/765,642	YABLOKO, LEONID		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thu-Nguyet Le	2162		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or certained period for reply will, by set so retarded period for reply will, by set subject on the scores ABANDOWED (35 U.S. C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three morths after the mailing date of this communication, even if smelly filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2004.
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers .
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attac	hment	s	١
-------	-------	---	---

- Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04 June 2004.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/765,642

Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to communications through the applicant's application filed on 27 January 2004.

Information Disclosure Statement

IDS submitted 04 June 2004 has been considered by examiner. A signed and initialed copy is attached hereto.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Claim 13 recites the limitations of "a computer-readable medium". However, "a computer-readable medium" is not specified in the specification. Correction of the following is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims recite the limitation of an apparatus. However, the claims lack necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 USC 101. As such, they fail to fall within a statutory category. They are, at best, functional descriptive material per se.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,642

Art Unit: 2162

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35·U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogasawara (US 2001/0018671).

With respect to claim 1, Ogasawara discloses a method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes, the method comprising:

- (a) storing and retrieving information in the form of tuples (para.[0072] lines 1-4);
- (b) using the tuples to represent objects involved in one or more processes, wherein each object is produced by an entity (para [0076] lines 1-5); and
- (c) connecting the tuples to represent sequential events of the one or more processes (para.[0076] lines 1-2).

Claim 2 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 1 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

- (d) associating each object with one or more semantic terms (fig.10 column "merchandise name", para.[0104] lines 7-11); and
- (e) allocating one tuple named with the semantic term for every association, the tuple containing the information provided by the object corresponding to the meaning of the semantic term (fig.11 column "shelf number", para [0108] lines 3-5).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,642 Art Unit: 2162

Claim 3 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 2 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

(f) indicating one or more of the semantic terms in order to represent a goal of the one or more processes (para.[0053] lines 5-9, para.[0076] lines 1-5).

Claim 4 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 2 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

(f) generating chains of events which terminate at the tuples corresponding to each semantic term (para, 100761 lines 1-5).

Claim 5 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 2 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

(f) generating semantic categories by aggregating the semantic terms (para.[0053] lines 5-7).

Claim 6 is rejected for the reasons set forth hereinabove for claim 1 and furthermore Ogasawara teaches the method of allowing entities to cooperate for implementing one or more processes further comprising:

(d) representing the conditions under which the entity can produce one or more of the objects by using tuple templates (para.[0104] lines 12-17).

Claims 7-12, 13-18 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-6 because claims 7-12, 13-18 claim substantially equivalent

Application/Control Number: 10/765,642 Art Unit: 2162

limitations as claims 1-6. Claims 1-6 claim limitations of the method. Claims 7-12 claim limitations of an apparatus. Claims 13-18 claim limitations of an article of manufacture.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,642 Art Unit: 2162

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Nguyet Le whose telephone number is 571-270-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on 571-272-4170. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TL

Thu-Nguyet Le ≰BP 31 May 2007

JOHN BREENE
SÜPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100